THE
Dan Smoot Report

Vol. 13, No. 41 (Broadcast 633) October 9, 1967 Dallas, Texas

DAN SMOOT

RACE WARS, U.S.A. - PART X

Miss Tan America of 1967, an attractive young Negress named Dolores Evans, made an interesting comment on the 1967 Negro riots. She said:

"I don't believe the rioters expected the riots to be as useful as they have been. They're certainly better than peaceful demonstrations."[1]

Negro riots are very useful to socialists and communists who incite them.

Americans who profess to be liberals nowadays are ideologically socialists — that is, they believe in government with unlimited power to control the economy and lives of the people. Communists want the same kind of socialist government.

Negro agitators — Whitney Young, Jr., Martin Luther King, Roy Wilkins, Rap Brown, Stokely Carmichael, et al. — are socialists, to say the least. Most of them have had associations with communists or communist fronts. And the Negro riots are rapidly accelerating the communist-socialist plan for the conquest of the United States.

By calculated design, racial agitators are manipulating the riots to stampede the United States into bankrupting itself on welfare programs that will make matters worse; they are causing the chaos and anarchy that communists always try to create as a prelude to establishing dictatorship.[2] When the police have been so discredited and handicapped that they cannot adequately protect the people; when the people are so thoroughly frightened by flaming anarchy threatening their property and their lives that they are near panic — then the people are in a mood to accept any program offering hope for law and order. The program they get under these circumstances is inevitably the communist-socialist program, because communists-socialists planned it that way — their planning having been financed with tax money through legislation enacted by liberals in Congress.

Most Americans of all races doubtless agree with Norman Simon, Negro president of a Chicago bank, who said:

THE DAN SMOOT REPORT is published weekly by The Dan Smoot Report, Inc., Box 9538, Dallas, Texas 75214 (office at 6441 Gaston Ave.). Subscriptions: $18.00 for 2 years; $10.00, 1 year; $6.00, 6 months; first class, $12.50 a year; airmail, $14.50. Dan Smoot was born in Missouri, reared in Texas. With BA and MA degrees from SMU (1938 and 1940), he joined the Harvard faculty (1941) as a Teaching Fellow, doing graduate work in American civilization. From 1942 to 1951, he was an FBI agent; from 1951 to 1955, a commentator on national radio and television. In 1955, he started his present independent, free-enterprise business: publishing this REPORT and abbreviating it each week for radio and TV broadcasts available for commercial sponsorship by business firms.

Copyright by Dan Smoot, 1967. Second Class mail privilege authorized at Dallas, Texas.
No Reproductions Permitted.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

"Anyone given a substantial degree of drive and hope can come from the humblest of backgrounds and get any place he wants to go."[3]

As a penniless teenager, in 1940, Norman Simon rode freight trains from New Orleans to Chicago, where, by hard work and integrity, he has achieved an enviable position in American middle-class society.

Thousands of other Negroes and whites have had comparable careers.

Most Americans of all races probably applaud the sentiments of Archie Moore, Negro boxer, who said:

"I have broken bread with heads of state, chatted with presidents, and traveled all over the world. I was born in a ghetto, but I refused to stay there . . . .

"The young people of today think they have a hard lot. They should have been around in the '30s when I was coming up in St Louis. We had no way to go, but a lot of us made it. . . .

"We made it because we had a goal, and we were willing to work for it. Don't talk to me of your 'guaranteed national income.' Any fool knows that this is insanity. Do we bring those who worked to get ahead down to the level of those who never gave a damn?[4]

What are decent Negroes and whites going to do about the destruction of their nation by "those who never gave a damn" — those who are being organized and agitated by subversives, encouraged and subsidized with taxpayers' money by politicians greedy for votes?

First of all, we must have law and order. Hence, we must support law enforcement agencies — with respect, gratitude, and adequate compensation.

The underpaid police are our first line of defense against ravaging, lawless mobs. At the outbreak of a riot, police are often restricted from using force necessary to stop violence. When violence gets out of hand, police are blamed for ineffectiveness. When they then use enough force to restore order, they are accused of brutality, threatened with prosecution. The national guard — our second line of defense — generally suffers the same treatment. But rioters are seldom held accountable for their crimes.

We must have governors, mayors, police commissioners who will fully support police in using whatever force is necessary to stop a riot. If given such support, police could usually suppress a riot before much violence occurs, thus saving lives and property. If a riot reaches the point where mobs are burning, looting, and attacking police and firemen, police should shoot to kill.

The question of whether a person should be shot for looting a case of beer during a riot — of whether a case of beer is worth a human life —is irrelevant. Of course, a case of beer is not worth a human life; but civilization is. If a mob of people — any people, black or white, young or old — is permitted to commit theft, arson, and assault in the presence of police who do nothing to prevent or stop the crimes, civilization collapses; and society degenerates into barbarism. If the *first* looters had been shot when the pillaging and firebombing *started* in Detroit, the lives of many other persons who died while the riot raged out of control would probably have been saved — and so would hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of property.

If police were ordered to use necessary force on rioters, it is not likely that many riots would get so far out of hand that use of the national guard would be necessary. It is extremely unlikely that any riot would ever become so bad that it could not be controlled by police *and* national guard, if these agencies are supported in the quick use of necessary force.

Control of the national guard should reside exclusively with the states, except in times of declared war or formally proclaimed national emergency — precisely as stipulated by the Constitution in those provisions dealing with state militia.

We must have local ordinances and state laws adequate to prohibit demonstrations and marches that preempt public streets and roads, shatter the

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

peace of entire communities, incite racial hatred, induce vandalism and violence, and tie up police forces to protect the demonstrators, thus increasing the tax cost of police protection against general crime, while decreasing its effectiveness. These are the real purposes of such demonstrations and marches — the ugliest recent examples of which were in Milwaukee. To permit such activity under the pretense that it is constitutionally protected by the free-speech and peaceful-assembly provisions of the First Amendment is a perversion of the meaning of that Amendment.

We must have local and state courts that will treat unlawful assemblies, incitation to riot, arson, theft, assault, and murder as serious crimes and apply the full measure of punishment that the law permits, no matter who is involved.

Many local and state courts refuse to mete out proper punishment to rioters and other law breakers because the magistrates of those courts are liberals of the type that J. Edgar Hoover calls "sob sisters" and "bleeding hearts." The decent people of all races must do whatever is necessary at the local and state level to get rid of such court officials, whether by impeachment or by action at the polls if the officials are elected. Politicians who appoint such court officials should be voted out of office.

Many local and state courts refuse to prescribe proper punishment for law breakers, because the magistrates know their decisions will be overturned by the U.S. Supreme Court (or by a lower federal court following a Supreme Court ruling). The U.S. Congress can correct this situation: it has constitutional power to limit or abolish the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court (and of all other federal courts), thus prohibiting the federal judiciary from intervening to overturn decisions of local and state courts in matters that are clearly beyond the constitutional jurisdiction of the federal government.

Since federal courts have no *constitutional* authority to review state court decisions in cases involving state laws, Congress, by statute, should specifically prohibit the federal courts from taking state and local cases on appeal. This would leave with local and state courts and law enforcement agencies the power to protect the public by enforcing law and punishing law breakers.

All of this is necessary for the prevention and suppression of riots, but it does not eliminate the cause of riots.

A basic cause of riots is the liberalism that has dominated every aspect of American life for a generation, developing in many people the materialistic attitude of socialism, which demands something for nothing, accepts no individual responsibility, heeds no authority, yields to no discipline, cherishes no ideals, and respects neither God, country, nor self.

In violation of the Constitution, liberals have converted the federal government into a welfare-state dictatorship, which taxes the people so heavily that they do not have enough left to provide adequate savings for private investment in the capital goods necessary to keep alive what remains of our free-enterprise economy.

Politicians are elected to federal office on promises of giving people something for nothing; but no amount of money taken from taxpayers to keep such political promises is ever enough.

Frederic Bastiat (French economist 1801-1850, author of the magnificent little treatise, *The Law*) said it rather well more than a hundred years ago:

"When the people are encouraged to turn to government to settle all of their problems for them, the basis for all revolutions is thereby established. For then, the people expect the government to provide them with all of the material things they want. And when these things are not forthcoming, they resort to violence to get them. And why not — since the government itself has told them that these responsibilities belong to government rather than to them? . . . A revolution would not be possible if the only relationship between government and the people was to guarantee them their liberty

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

and security [security meaning protection against marauders and thieves, domestic or foreign]."[5]

Liberals who run our federal government are encouraging, protecting, and, to a very large degree, subsidizing with our money, the anarchy which is destroying the United States.

We can save our Republic only if we dismantle the federal welfare-state dictatorship and reestablish limited constitutional government, leaving state governments the resources and power to do whatever the people of each state feel that government should do in the way of maintaining law and order and assisting the poor.

Americans of all races must *demand* that Congress reject all of Johnson's pending great society proposals, and repeal those already enacted;

they must *demand* that Congress reject the President's request for a tax increase and force the administration to balance the budget by eliminating harmful, unconstitutional spending;

they must *demand* that Congress do its constitutional duty to control the spending of tax money and stop giving the President limitless authority to do whatever he pleases;

they must *demand* that Congress reject pending proposals to give federal aid for local law enforcement agencies, because such aid is unconstitutional and because it will inevitably lead to federal control;

they must *demand* that Congress reject the President's proposed firearms-control bill, because the legislation is unconstitutional and because it would disarm law-abiding citizens while not seriously restricting the use of deadly weapons by criminals;

they must *demand* that Congress reject Johnson's proposed Civil Rights Act of 1967 and that it repeal the civil rights laws passed in 1957, 1960, 1964, and 1965, because these unconstitutional laws make it difficult for state and local governments to handle dangerous racial problems;

they must *demand* that Congress limit the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court to keep it from invalidating state laws and handcuffing law enforcement;

they must *demand* that Congress compel the Department of Justice to punish acts of treason and sedition, whether committed by Rap Brown, Stokely Carmichael, government officials, or anyone else.

Americans of all races must *demand* quick termination of the Vietnam war with a victory which our armed forces are capable of winning, because liberal mismanagement of the war is working hand in glove with liberal manipulation of the race problem to dissipate our manpower, sap our economic strength, and strangle us with taxes.

If enough Americans do not make these demands — and keep making them until the present Congress responds, or until a Congress of constitutional conservatives is elected — then freedom in the United States is gasping its last breath.

* * * * *

NOTE: A *Report* dated July 18, 1966 ("How To Roll Back The Socialist Revolution") is a very pertinent supplement to this series on the race wars. It will be added (without charge) to every order for all 10 parts of "Race Wars, U.S.A." The packet of 11 *Reports* costs $1.00. Note quantity prices in box below.

FOOTNOTES

(1) Article by Judy Burton, *The Dallas Morning News*, Aug. 30, 1967, p. C5
(2) Article by Alice Widener, *Human Events*, Sept. 16, 1967, p. 9
(3) AP dispatch from Chicago, Aug. 24, 1967
(4) Article by Archie Moore, *The* (Wash., D.C.) *Sunday Star*, Aug. 20, 1967, p. F5
(5) Article by Gary Allen, *American Opinion*, July-Aug., 1967, pp. 1-16

REPRINTS OF THIS ISSUE
(for bulk mailing to one address):

1 copy	$ .25	100 copies	$ 6.50
10 copies	1.00	200 copies	12.00
25 copies	2.00	500 copies	28.00
50 copies	3.50	1000 copies	50.00

Texans Add 2% for Sales Tax

THE DAN SMOOT REPORT TAylor 1-2303
BOX 9538 DALLAS, TEXAS 75214

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED